

The Graviton in the AdS-CFT correspondence: Solution via the Dirichlet boundary value problem

W. Mück* and K. S. Viswanathan†

Department of Physics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, B.C., V5A 1S6 Canada

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Using the AdS-CFT correspondence we calculate the two point function of CFT energy momentum tensors. The AdS gravitons are considered by explicitly solving the Dirichlet boundary value problem for $x_0 = \epsilon$. We consider this treatment as complementary to existing work, with which we make contact.

*Email address: wmueck@sfu.ca

†Email address: kviswana@sfu.ca

1 Introduction

In recent months much has been written about the so-called AdS-CFT correspondence. It is a special case of the holographic connection between bulk and boundary theories [1] and has attracted much attention because it presumably links two important theories, namely Type IIB supergravity and the large N limit of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Super Yang Mills Theory [2]. The principle behind this correspondence is most often stated as follows [3]:

$$Z_{AdS}[\phi_0] = \int_{\phi_0} \mathcal{D}\phi \exp(-I[\phi]) \equiv Z_{CFT}[\phi_0] = \left\langle \exp \left(\int_{\partial AdS} d^d x \mathcal{O}\phi_0 \right) \right\rangle. \quad (1)$$

The path integral over AdS fields on the l.h.s. is calculated under the restriction that the field ϕ satisfy a Dirichlet boundary condition on the boundary ∂AdS , and the corresponding boundary value ϕ_0 acts as a current coupling to the CFT field. The path integral is, of course, redundant in the classical approximation.

However, the prescription (1) is not applicable as it stands, but must be "regularized". The reason is that fields on anti-de Sitter space (except some notable exceptions) do not extend to the boundary, which means that the Dirichlet boundary value problem is not well defined. For regularization, one modifies the theory such that for any finite value of some parameter ϵ the prescription be well defined and one takes the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ at the end. One possible scheme, which has been shown to be consistent, is to shift the boundary to the interior of the AdS bulk and shift it back after the calculations. For most calculations, notably those of CFT three and higher point functions, which depend on the bulk rather than only on the boundary behaviour of the AdS fields, the limit can be taken as an intermediate step, which greatly simplifies the calculations. However, as was pointed out in [4], one must strictly follow the regularization procedure for CFT two point functions in order for the results to be consistent (Ward identities). A different method of regularization can be found in [5, 6].

The regularization scheme described above has been consequently applied to the treatment of scalar [7], vector and spinor [8], Rarita-Schwinger fields (gravitinos) [9], the transverse traceless part of gravitons [10] and antisymmetric tensor fields [11]. Gravitons are one of the "notable exceptions," where the limit can indeed be taken as an intermediate step also when calculating the CFT two point function. They have been considered in [12] using this fact. Despite our results being identical with those already known, we feel that a full treatment of gravitons within this regularization procedure should complement the other fields. Thus, we shall in this paper present our calculation of the two point function of CFT energy momentum tensors, which couple to the AdS gravitons. We will work in the radiation gauge $h_{\mu 0} = 0$ and use the time slicing formalism, which particularly suits the problem. Then, we shall make contact with the existing work [12] by explicitly calculating the necessary gauge

transformation.

The AdS-CFT correspondence is a field, where progress is being made quickly, as the sheer number of recent articles shows. Let us therefore mention only a few. Two and three point functions were calculated in [13, 3, 14, 7, 4, 12, 15, 8, 6, 16, 17, 9]. Contributions to four point functions can be found in [7, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22].

2 Notations and Background

The representation of Euclidean anti-de Sitter space most often used in the AdS-CFT context is the "upper half space," $x^i \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, d$), $x_0 > 0$, with the metric

$$ds^2 = x_0^{-2} dx^\mu dx_\mu, \quad (2)$$

where we sum over the indices $\mu = 0, 1, \dots, d$. The natural boundary of this space is given by $x_0 = 0$, but in view of what we said above we shall consider the hypersurface $x_0 = \epsilon$ as the boundary and take the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ at the end. We conveniently split up the coordinates of AdS_{d+1} as $x = (x_0, \mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{x}$ has components x^i .

Given this notation, the idea of using the initial value formulation of gravity with the time slices defined by $x_0 = \text{const.}$ hypersurfaces is very intriguing.

Thus, let us begin with a review of the basic geometric relations for immersed hypersurfaces [23]. Let a hypersurface be defined by the functions $X^\mu(x^i)$ and let $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$ and g_{ij} be the metric tensors of the imbedding manifold and the hypersurface, respectively. Raising and lowering of indices is, for the time being, performed covariantly using these metrics. The tangents $\partial_i X^\mu$ and normal N^μ of the hypersurface satisfy the following orthogonality relations:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} \partial_i X^\mu \partial_j X^\nu = g_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

$$\partial_i X^\mu N_\mu = 0, \quad (4)$$

$$N_\mu N^\mu = 1. \quad (5)$$

We shall in the sequel use a tilde to label quantities relating to the imbedding $d + 1$ dimensional manifold and leave those relating to the hypersurface unadorned. Moreover, we use the symbol D to denote a covariant derivative with respect to whatever indices follow. Then, there are the equations of Gauss and Weingarten, which define the second fundamental form H_{ij} of the hypersurface,

$$D_i \partial_j X^\mu \equiv \partial_i \partial_j X^\mu - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k X^\mu + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda\nu}^\mu \partial_i X^\lambda \partial_j X^\nu = H_{ij} N^\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$D_i N^\mu \equiv \partial_i N^\mu + \tilde{\Gamma}_{\lambda\nu}^\mu \partial_i X^\lambda N^\nu = -H_i^j \partial_j X^\mu. \quad (7)$$

The second fundamental form describes the extrinsic curvature of the hypersurface and is related to the intrinsic curvature by another equation of Gauss,

$$\tilde{R}_{\mu\nu\lambda\rho}\partial_i X^\mu\partial_j X^\nu\partial_k X^\lambda\partial_l X^\rho = R_{ijkl} + H_{il}H_{jk} - H_{ik}H_{jl}. \quad (8)$$

Using the Eqns. (6)-(8) one can show that the curvature $\tilde{R}$ can be written as

$$\tilde{R} = R - H_{ij}H^{ij} + H^2 + 2D_\mu(N^\nu D_\nu N^\mu - N^\mu D_\nu N^\nu), \quad (9)$$

where $H = H_i^i$ is the trace of the second fundamental form.

Let us consider the action [12, 10]

$$I = - \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} [\tilde{R} + d(d-1)] + \int d^d x \sqrt{g} (2H + 2(d-1)). \quad (10)$$

It consists of the Einstein-Hilbert action with a cosmological constant, the Gibbons-Hawking term and a cosmological boundary term, which was justified in [12, 10]. Using Eqn. (9) one realizes that the total derivative cancels the Gibbons-Hawking term. Moreover, converting the cosmological boundary term into a bulk integral by inserting $N_\mu N^\mu = 1$ one arrives at the expression

$$I = - \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{\tilde{g}} [R - H_i^j H_j^i + H^2 + d(d-1) + 2(d-1)H]. \quad (11)$$

The advantages of this representation of the action become pronounced when using the time slicing formalism and working in radiation gauge $h_{\mu 0} = 0$.

In the time slicing formalism [24, 25] we consider the bundle of immersed hypersurfaces defined by $X^0 = \text{const.}$, whose tangent vectors are given by $\partial_i X^0 = 0$ and $\partial_i X^\mu = \delta_i^\mu$ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, d$). One conventionally splits up the metric as

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} n^i n_i + n^2 & n_k \\ n_i & g_{ik} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

whose inverse is given by

$$\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{n^2} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -n^i \\ -n^k & n^2 g^{ki} + n^k n^i \end{pmatrix} \quad (13)$$

and whose determinant is $\tilde{g} = n^2 g$. The quantities n and n^i are called the lapse function and shift vector, respectively [25]. The normal vectors N^μ satisfying (4) and (5) are then given by

$$N_\mu = (-n, \mathbf{0}), \quad N^\mu = \frac{1}{n}(-1, n^i), \quad (14)$$

where the sign has been chosen such that the normals point outwards on the boundary ($n > 0$ without loss of generality). Then, using Eqn. (7) one obtains

$$H_{ij} = \frac{1}{2n}(-D_i n_j - D_j n_i + g_{ij,0}). \quad (15)$$

The equations of motion for n and n^i obtained from the action (11) are given by

$$R + d(d-1) - H^2 + H_i^j H_j^i = 0 \quad (16)$$

and

$$D_i H - D_j H_i^j = 0, \quad (17)$$

respectively. However, these two equations represent only constraint equations in the initial value formulation of gravity.

The time slicing formalism considered so far is very useful for our purposes when using the radiation gauge $h_{\mu 0} = 0$, which directly translates into

$$n = \frac{1}{x_0}, \quad n^i = 0. \quad (18)$$

Then, the remaining physical degrees of freedom are the metric components g_{ij} . Writing as usual

$$g_{ij} = \bar{g}_{ij} + h_{ij}, \quad (19)$$

where $\bar{g}_{ij} = x_0^{-2} \delta_{ij}$ is the background metric, we shall use $h_j^i = \bar{g}^{ik} h_{kj}$ as the graviton fields and from now on raise and lower the latin indices with the Euclidean metric.

3 Free Gravitons and Two Point Function

Linearizing the constraint equations (16) and (17) one obtains the relations

$$\square h - \partial_i \partial^j h_j^i + \frac{1-d}{x_0} \partial_0 h = 0 \quad (20)$$

and

$$\partial_0 (\partial_i h - \partial_j h_i^j) = 0, \quad (21)$$

respectively, where $\square = \partial_i \partial^i$. Substituting the gauge conditions (18) into the action (11) and expanding to second order in h_j^i one finds

$$I = \frac{1}{4} \int d^{d+1}x x_0^{1-d} (h_{j,i}^k h_k^{j,i} - h_{,i} h^{,i} - 2h_{j,i}^k h_k^{i,j} + 2h_{,i} h_j^{i,j} + h_{j,0}^i h_{i,0}^j - h_{,0} h_{,0}). \quad (22)$$

Zeroth and first order terms do not appear. The equation of motion for h_i^j derived from Eqn. (22), suitably combined with the constraints (20) and (21) yields the equation

$$\partial_0^2 h_j^i + \square h_j^i + \frac{1-d}{x_0} \partial_0 h_j^i - \frac{1}{x_0} \partial_0 h \delta_j^i + \partial^i \partial_j h - \partial^i \partial_l h_j^l - \partial_j \partial^l h_l^i = 0. \quad (23)$$

The Eqns. (20), (21) and (23) are identical with the ones found by Arutyunov and Frolov [10]. We shall now solve the Dirichlet boundary value problem of these Eqns. and use the result to calculate the two point function of CFT energy momentum tensors.

Comparing the trace of Eqn. (23) with the constraint (20) yields the simple equation for h ,

$$\partial_0^2 h - \frac{1}{x_0} \partial_0 h = 0, \quad (24)$$

whose solution is given by

$$h = \frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 - \epsilon^2)b(\mathbf{x}) + a(\mathbf{x}). \quad (25)$$

Solving the constraint (21) one then obtains

$$\partial_j h_i^j = \frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 - \epsilon^2)\partial_i b(\mathbf{x}) + a_i(\mathbf{x}). \quad (26)$$

Substituting Eqns. (25) and (26) into Eqn. (20) one finds

$$b = \frac{1}{d-1}(\square a - \partial^i a_i). \quad (27)$$

Thus, writing

$$h = \frac{x_0^2 - \epsilon^2}{2(d-1)}(\square a - \partial^i a_i) + a, \quad (28)$$

$$\partial_j h_i^j = \frac{x_0^2 - \epsilon^2}{2(d-1)}\partial_i(\square a - \partial^j a_j) + a_i, \quad (29)$$

we have found the trace and divergence of h_i^j as functions of their boundary values $a = h|_{x_0=\epsilon}$ and $a_i = \partial_j h_i^j|_{x_0=\epsilon}$.

We now substitute the solutions (25) and (26) into the equation of motion (23) and obtain the inhomogeneous differential equation

$$\partial_0^2 h_j^i + \square h_j^i + \frac{1-d}{x_0} \partial_0 h_j^i = \delta_j^i b - \partial^i \partial_j a + \partial_j a^i + \partial^i a_j + \frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 - \epsilon^2)\partial^i \partial_j b. \quad (30)$$

The homogeneous part of Eqn. (30) is identical with the equation of motion of a massless scalar field [7], whose solution we can take over. For the particular solution we make the ansatz

$$h_j^{(p)i}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x_0^2 - \epsilon^2)c_j^i(\mathbf{x}) + d_j^i(\mathbf{x}) \quad (31)$$

and obtain

$$c_j^i = \frac{\partial^i \partial_j}{\square} b \quad (32)$$

and

$$d_j^i = \delta_j^i \frac{1}{\square} b - \frac{\partial^i \partial_j}{\square} a + \frac{\partial_j}{\square} a^i + \frac{\partial^i}{\square} a_j + (d-2) \frac{\partial^i \partial_j}{\square^2} b. \quad (33)$$

Taking the trace and divergence of the particular solution (31) and comparing with Eqns. (25) and (26) one finds $h^{(p)} = h$ and $\partial_j h_i^{(p)j} = \partial_j h_i^j$, respectively, which means that the homogeneous solution of Eqn. (30) must be traceless and transversal. Combining the homogeneous and inhomogeneous solutions and using the relations (32), (33) and (27) we finally obtain the free graviton field in radiation gauge,

$$h_j^i(x) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{h}_j^i \left(\frac{x_0}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}} \frac{K_{\frac{d}{2}}(kx_0)}{K_{\frac{d}{2}}(k\epsilon)} - \frac{x_0^2 - \epsilon^2}{2(d-1)} \left(k^i k_j \hat{h} - \frac{k^i k_j k^k k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_k^l \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{k_j k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_l^i + \frac{k^i k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_j^l - \frac{k^i k_j k^k k_l}{k^4} \hat{h}_k^l + \frac{1}{d-1} \left(\delta_j^i - \frac{k^i k_j}{k^2} \right) \left(\hat{h} - \frac{k^k k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_k^l \right) \right], \quad (34)$$

where $\hat{h}_j^i(\mathbf{k})$ is the Fourier transform of the boundary data $h_j^i|_{x_0=\epsilon}$. Moreover, setting $x_0 = \epsilon$ in Eqn. (34) one finds

$$\bar{h}_j^i = \hat{h}_j^i - \frac{k_j k^l}{k^2} \hat{h}_l^i - \frac{k^i k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_j^l + \frac{k^i k_j k^k k_l}{k^4} \hat{h}_k^l - \frac{1}{d-1} \left(\delta_j^i - \frac{k^i k_j}{k^2} \right) \left(\hat{h} - \frac{k^k k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_k^l \right), \quad (35)$$

which is the traceless transversal part of h_j^i in momentum space [10].

Let us continue by calculating the two-point function of the CFT energy momentum tensor $T_i^j(\mathbf{x})$, which couples to h_j^i on the boundary. We shall be interested in its non-local parts only. First, using the equations of motion (23) the action (22) takes the value

$$I = \frac{1}{4} \int d^d x \epsilon^{1-d} (-h_i^j \partial_0 h_j^i + h \partial_0 h), \quad (36)$$

which tells us that the normal derivative of the field on the boundary, $\partial_0 h_j^i|_{x_0=\epsilon}$, contains all the information needed. From Eqn. (34) one finds

$$\partial_0 h_j^i|_{\epsilon} = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} \left[\bar{h}_j^i \frac{k}{\epsilon} \frac{d}{dk} \ln \left((k\epsilon)^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\frac{d}{2}}(k\epsilon) \right) - \frac{\epsilon}{d-1} \left(k^i k_j \hat{h} - \frac{k^i k_j k^k k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}_k^l \right) \right]. \quad (37)$$

Taking the trace of Eqn. (37) one realizes that $\partial_0 h|_{\epsilon}$ is a local expression and thus the corresponding term in Eqn. (36) does not contribute to the non-local part of the two-point function. We now expand the modified Bessel function using the formula [26]

$$z^\alpha K_\alpha = 2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\alpha) \left[1 + \frac{z^2}{4(1-\alpha)} - \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)} \left(\frac{z}{2} \right)^{2\alpha} + \dots \right], \quad (38)$$

where the ellipsis indicates either terms of order z^4 and higher, which yield local terms in the integral (37) as well as terms of order $z^{2\alpha+2}$ or higher, which are negligible in the $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ limit. In contrast to the scalar and vector fields [7, 8] we have to keep the z^2 term, which gives a nonlocal contribution together with the k^{-4} term contained in $\hat{h}_j^i$. However, one finds that this term cancels the nonlocal term proportional to ϵ in Eqn. (37). Hence, neglecting all local terms we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_0 h_j^i|_\epsilon = & \int d^d y h_s^r(\mathbf{y}) \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})} \left(-\epsilon^{d-1} 2^{-d} d \frac{\Gamma(1 - \frac{d}{2})}{\Gamma(1 + \frac{d}{2})} k^d \right) \\ & \times \left[\frac{1}{2} \delta_r^i \delta_j^s + \frac{1}{2} \delta^{is} \delta_{jr} - \frac{k_j k^s}{2k^2} \delta_r^i - \frac{k_j k_r}{2k^2} \delta^{is} - \frac{k^i k_r}{2k^2} \delta_j^s - \frac{k^i k^s}{2k^2} \delta_{jr} \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{d-2}{d-1} \frac{k^i k_j k^s k_r}{k^4} + \frac{1}{d-1} \left(-\delta_j^i \delta_r^s + \frac{k_r k^s}{k^2} \delta_j^i + \frac{k^i k_j}{k^2} \delta_r^s \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Finally, carrying out the k integration and substituting the result into Eqn. (36) yields

$$I = -\frac{\kappa d}{4} \int d^d x d^d y \frac{h_i^j(\mathbf{x}) h_s^r(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{2d}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (J_r^i(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) J_j^s(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + J^{is}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) J_{jr}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) - \frac{1}{d} \delta_j^i \delta_r^s \right], \quad (40)$$

where we introduced

$$J_j^i(x) = \delta_j^i - 2 \frac{x^i x_j}{x^2} \quad (41)$$

and

$$\kappa = \frac{d+1}{d-1} \frac{\Gamma(d)}{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{d}{2})}. \quad (42)$$

From Eqn. (40) we can read off the two-point function

$$\langle T_j^i(\mathbf{x}) T_r^s(\mathbf{y}) \rangle = \frac{\kappa d}{2|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{2d}} \left[\frac{1}{2} (J_r^i(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) J_j^s(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) + J^{is}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) J_{jr}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y})) - \frac{1}{d} \delta_j^i \delta_r^s \right], \quad (43)$$

which is just as expected and coincides with the one found by Liu and Tseytlin [12].

4 Transformation to de Donder Gauge

Having found the free graviton field in radiation gauge, it is in principle straightforward to obtain solutions in any other gauge, the covariant gauges being particularly important. Performing a gauge transformation

$$h_{\nu}^{\mu} = h_{\nu}^{\mu} + \nabla^{\mu} \xi_{\nu} + \nabla_{\nu} \xi^{\mu}, \quad (44)$$

where ∇ is the covariant derivative with respect to the background metric, we want to impose the de Donder gauge condition

$$\nabla_\mu \left(h'^\mu_\nu - \frac{1}{2} \delta^\mu_\nu h' \right) = 0, \quad (45)$$

which is the standard gauge when dealing with linearized gravity. Eqns. (44) and (45) imply that we have to solve the inhomogeneous differential equation

$$\nabla_\mu \nabla^\mu \xi_\nu + \bar{R}^\mu_\nu \xi_\mu = -\nabla_\mu h^\mu_\nu + \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu h, \quad (46)$$

with the boundary condition $\xi_\mu|_{x_0=\epsilon} = 0$ in order to preserve the boundary data of the graviton field. In Eqn. (46) $\bar{R}^\mu_\nu$ is the background Ricci tensor. Keeping in mind that h^μ_ν is in radiation gauge, Eqn. (46) reads for the AdS background

$$x_0^2(\partial_0^2 + \square)\xi_0 + (3-d)x_0\partial_0\xi_0 - (3d-1)\xi_0 + 2x_0\partial^i\xi_i = \frac{1}{2}\partial_0 h - \frac{1}{x_0}h, \quad (47)$$

$$x_0^2(\partial_0^2 + \square)\xi_i + (3-d)x_0\partial_0\xi_i - 2d\xi_i - 2x_0\partial_i\xi_0 = \frac{1}{2}\partial_i h - \partial_j h^j_i. \quad (48)$$

Going into momentum space and using the solution (34) we find the particular solution of Eqns. (47) and (48) as

$$\xi_0^{(p)}(\mathbf{k}, x_0) = \frac{1}{2(d-1)x_0} \left(\hat{h} - \frac{k^i k_j}{k^2} \hat{h}^j_i \right) \quad (49)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i^{(p)}(\mathbf{k}, x_0) = & -\frac{i}{k^2 x_0^2} \left(k_j \hat{h}^j_i - \frac{k_i k^j k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}^l_j \right) + \frac{i k_i}{2(d-1)k^2 x_0^2} \left(\hat{h} - d \frac{k^j k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}^l_j \right) \\ & + \frac{i k_i}{4(d-1)} \left(\hat{h} - \frac{k^j k_l}{k^2} \hat{h}^l_j \right) \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon^2}{x_0^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The terms in Eqn. (50) have been arranged such that the first and second terms are transversal and longitudinal, respectively, and the third term vanishes on the boundary. It is interesting to note that, after a gauge transformation using $\xi_\mu^{(p)}$, only the traceless transversal part of h^i_j survives, while the components h^0_μ remain zero. Thus, we found a graviton solution satisfying the traceless transversal gauge [24], but this solution does not solve the Dirichlet boundary value problem, because also its boundary values are constrained to be traceless and transversal.

Turning to the homogeneous part of the Eqns. (47) and (48) we realize that there exists a solution with $\xi_0 = 0$ and $k^i \xi_i = 0$. Hence, the coefficient of this solution must be chosen such that it cancels the first term on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (50) on the boundary. On the other

hand, the homogeneous solutions containing the longitudinal part of ξ_i must cancel $\xi_0^{(p)}$ and the second term on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (50) on the boundary. Skipping the details we find

$$\xi_0^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}, x_0) = Ax_0^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\frac{d}{2}+1}(kx_0) + B \left[\frac{1}{k} x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\beta+1}(kx_0) - \frac{\frac{d}{2} + \beta}{k^2} x_0^{\frac{d}{2}-1} K_{\beta}(kx_0) \right] \quad (51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_i^{(h)}(\mathbf{k}, x_0) = & \frac{i}{x_0^2 k^2} \left(k_j h_i^j - \frac{k_i k^j k_l}{k^2} h_j^l \right) \left(\frac{x_0}{\epsilon} \right)^{\frac{d}{2}+1} \frac{K_{\frac{d}{2}+1}(kx_0)}{K_{\frac{d}{2}+1}(k\epsilon)} \\ & + A \frac{ik_i}{k^2} \left[kx_0^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\frac{d}{2}}(kx_0) + dx_0^{\frac{d}{2}-1} K_{\frac{d}{2}+1}(kx_0) \right] + B \frac{ik_i}{k^2} x_0^{\frac{d}{2}} K_{\beta}(kx_0), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where $\beta = \sqrt{d^2/4 + 2d}$. The coefficients $A(\mathbf{k})$ and $B(\mathbf{k})$ are uniquely determined by requiring that $\xi_0^{(p)}$ and the second term on the r.h.s. of Eqn. (50) be cancelled on the boundary.

Let us look at the bulk behaviour of the transformed field h''_{ν} . In order to obtain it, we need first to determine A and B in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Using the requirement stated above and the leading order behaviour of the modified Bessel functions $K(k\epsilon)$ one obtains

$$A|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} = - \frac{(k/2)^{\frac{d}{2}+1}}{(d-1)\Gamma(d/2+1)} \left(\frac{\hat{h}}{d} - \frac{k_i k^j}{k^2} \hat{h}_j^i \right), \quad (53)$$

$$B|_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} = - \frac{\epsilon^{\beta-\frac{d}{2}} k^{\beta+2} \hat{h}}{2^{\beta} d(\beta-d/2)\Gamma(\beta)}. \quad (54)$$

However, since $\beta > d/2$, we can set $B = 0$ in the transformed field. Hence, substituting Eqns. (49), (50), (51), (52), (53) and $B = 0$ into Eqn. (44) one finds after integration

$$h'^{bulk\mu}_{\nu}(x) = \int d^d y \frac{\kappa x_0^d}{(x_0^2 + |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2)^d} J_k^{\mu}(x - \mathbf{y}) J_{\nu}^l(x - \mathbf{y}) P_{li}^{kj} h_j^i(\mathbf{y}), \quad (55)$$

with the traceless projector

$$P_{li}^{kj} = \frac{1}{2} (\delta_i^k \delta_l^j + \delta^{kj} \delta_{li}) - \frac{1}{d} \delta_l^k \delta_i^j. \quad (56)$$

Obviously, Eqn. (55) represents the result found by Liu and Tseytlin [12].

5 Conclusions

We have considered the free graviton on AdS background and explicitly solved the Dirichlet boundary value problem. We took care to carefully follow the regularization procedure and thus took the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ at the end of the calculation of the CFT two point function. Our results coincide with earlier results by Liu and Tseytlin [12] as expected. The $h_{\mu 0} = 0$ gauge seems to be useful also for interacting fields [22]. Thus, we hope that our lengthy explanation of the geometric basics will contribute to the understanding of these cases.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by NSERC. W. M. gratefully acknowledges the financial support from Simon Fraser University.

References

- [1] L. Susskind, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 6377 (1995).
- [2] J. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*. hep-th/9711200
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti de Sitter space and holography*. hep-th/9802150
- [4] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, *Correlation functions in the AFT_d/AdS_{d+1} correspondence*. hep-th/9804058
- [5] I. Ya. Aref'eva and I. V. Volovich, Phys. Lett. **B433**, 49 (1998).
- [6] S. N. Solodukhin, *Correlation functions of boundary field theory from bulk Green's functions and phases in the boundary theory*. hep-th/9806004
- [7] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 041901 (1998).
- [8] W. Mück and K. S. Viswanathan, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 106006 (1998).
- [9] S. Corley, *The massless gravitino and the AdS/CFT correspondence*. hep-th/9808184
- [10] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, *The origin of supergravity boundary terms in the AdS/CFT correspondence*. hep-th/9806216
- [11] G. E. Arutyunov and S. A. Frolov, *Antisymmetric tensor fields on AdS_5* . hep-th/9807046
- [12] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, *$D = 4$ super Yang-Mills, $D = 5$ gauged supergravity, and $D = 4$ conformal supergravity*. hep-th/9804083
- [13] S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, Phys. Lett. **B428**, 105 (1998).
- [14] M. Henningson and K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. **B430**, 87 (1998).
- [15] G. Chalmers, H. Nastase, K. Schalm and R. Siebelink, *R -current correlators in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory from anti-de Sitter supergravity*. hep-th/9805105
- [16] S. Lee, S. Minwalla, M. Rangamani and N. Seiberg, *Three-point functions of chiral operators in $D = 4$, $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at large N* . hep-th/9806074
- [17] E. D'Hoker, D. Z. Freedman and W. Skiba, *Field theory tests for correlators in the AdS/CFT correspondence*. hep-th/9807098

- [18] H. Liu and A. A. Tseytlin, *On four-point functions in the CFT/AdS correspondence*. hep-th/9807097
- [19] D. Z. Freedman, S. D. Mathur, A. Matusis and L. Rastelli, *Comments on 4-point functions in the CFT/AdS correspondence*. hep-th/9808006
- [20] J. H. Brodie and M. Gutperle, *String corrections to four point functions in the AdS/CFT correspondence*. hep-th/9809067
- [21] E. D'Hoker and D. Z. Freedman, *Gauge boson exchange in AdS_{d+1}* . hep-th/9809179
- [22] G. Chalmers and K. Schalm, *The large N_c limit of four-point functions in $N = 4$ super Yang-Mills theory from anti-de Sitter supergravity*. hep-th/9810051
- [23] L. P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, Princeton University Press (1964).
- [24] R. M. Wald, *General Relativity*, University of Chicago Press (1984).
- [25] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation*, Freeman, San Francisco (1973).
- [26] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*. Academic Press, fifth edn. (1994)